

ARA

An Automatic Theorem Prover for Relation Algebras

Carsten Sinz

Symbolic Computation Group,
WSI for Computer Science,
Universität Tübingen, Germany

sinz@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de
www-sr.informatik.uni-tuebingen.de/~sinz

17.06.2000

Peirce (The Logic of Relatives, 1883):

The logic of relatives is highly multi-form; it is characterized by innumerable inferences, and by various distinct conclusions from the same sets of premises.

(...)

The effect of these peculiarities is that this algebra cannot be subjected to hard and fast rules like those of the Boolean calculus; and all that can be done in this place is to give a general idea of the way of working with it.

RA, RRA, SA: Definitions

Relation Algebra (RA): Structure

$$\mathcal{A} = (A, +, -, \odot, \smile, \overset{\circ}{1})$$

satisfying the equations (BI)-(BX) for all $R, S, T \in A$.

Proper Relation Algebra: All elements of A are actually binary relations, operations are the usual set-theoretic ones.

Representable Relation Algebra (RRA):

\mathcal{A} representable iff. \mathcal{A} isomorphic to a proper relation algebra.

Semi-associative Relation Algebra (SA):

Associativity of \odot (equation (BIV)) replaced by equation $R \odot 1 = (R \odot 1) \odot 1$.

Finite Variable Logic

n -variable logic: First order predicate logic with restricted language: only n distinct variables x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} .

n -variable calculus: All axioms resp. rules restricted to n variables.

Cut-rule: In n -variable logic calculi with cut-rule are usually stronger than their counterparts without cut-rule (e.g. sequence calculus).

n -variable resolution is weaker than the n -variable sequence calculus with cut-rule.

Gordeev's Reduction Predicate Calculi:

n -variable calculi without cut-rule (RPC_n), equivalent in proof power to n -variable sequence calculus with cut-rule (SCC_n).

The ARA Prover

- Prover for the RPC_n calculi.
- Front-end to convert RA equations to 3-variable sentences of first-order logic.
- Different reduction strategies, e.g.:
 - ORP**: oldest reduction possibility
 - LP**: complementary literal pair strategy
- Various additional simplification rules, e.g.:
 - Priority for shortening rules.
 - Subgoal generation.
 - Pure literal deletion.

Calculus of Relations

Basic objects: Binary relations R, S, T, \dots

Basic operations:

complementation:	R^-	$\forall xy(xR^-y \Leftrightarrow \neg xRy)$
conversion:	R^\smile	$\forall xy(xR^\smile y \Leftrightarrow yRx)$
rel. multiplication:	$R \odot S$	$\forall xy(xR \odot Sy \Leftrightarrow \exists z(xRz \wedge zSy))$
abs. addition:	$R + S$	$\forall xy(xR + Sy \Leftrightarrow xRy \vee xSy)$
relative unit:	\mathring{i}	$\forall xy(x\mathring{i}y \Leftrightarrow x = y)$
relational equiv.:	$R = S$	$\forall xy(xRy \Leftrightarrow xSy)$
relational incl.:	$R \leq S$	$\forall xy(xRy \Rightarrow xSy)$

Derived operations:

relative addition:	$R \oplus S$	$R \oplus S = (R^- \odot S^-)^-$
relative zero:	\mathring{o}	$\mathring{o} = \mathring{i}^-$

Calculus of Relations (cont.)

Examples:

$R \odot R \leq R$	transitivity	$\forall xy(\exists z(xRz \wedge zRy) \Rightarrow xRy)$
$R \leq R^\smile$	symmetry	$\forall xy(xRy \Rightarrow yRx)$
$R^\smile \odot R \leq \mathring{1}$	functionality	$\forall xyz(zRx \wedge zRy \Rightarrow x = y)$

Basic identities (Peirce 1883):

$$\mathring{1} \leq R \oplus R^{\smile} \qquad R \odot R^{\smile} \leq \mathring{0}$$

$$R \odot (S \oplus T) \leq (R \odot S) \oplus T \qquad R \oplus (S \odot T) \leq (R \oplus S) \odot T$$

$$R \odot S \leq T \Leftrightarrow R^\smile \odot T^- \leq S^- \Leftrightarrow T^- \odot S^\smile \leq R^-$$

Tarski's Axiomatization

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(BI)} & R + S = S + R \\ \text{(BII)} & R + (S + T) = (R + S) + T \\ \text{(BIII)} & (R^- + S)^- + (R^- + S^-)^- = R \\ \text{(BIV)} & R \odot (S \odot T) = (R \odot S) \odot T \\ \text{(BV)} & (R + S) \odot T = R \odot T + S \odot T \\ \text{(BVI)} & R \odot \mathring{1} = R \\ \text{(BVII)} & R^{\smile\smile} = R \\ \text{(BVIII)} & (R + S)^{\smile} = R^{\smile} + S^{\smile} \\ \text{(BIX)} & (R \odot S)^{\smile} = S^{\smile} \odot R^{\smile} \\ \text{(BX)} & R^{\smile} \odot (R \odot S)^- + S^- = S^- \end{array}$$

RPC_n Rewrite Systems

$$(R1) \quad A \vee \top \longrightarrow \top$$

$$(R2) \quad L \vee \neg L \longrightarrow \top$$

$$(R3) \quad A \wedge \top \longrightarrow A$$

$$(R4) \quad A \vee (B \wedge C) \longrightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$$

$$(R5) \quad \exists x A \longrightarrow \exists x A \vee A[x/t]$$

$$(R6) \quad \forall x A \vee B \longrightarrow \forall x A \vee B \vee \forall y (\forall y B \vee A[x-y][x/y])$$

$$(R6') \quad \forall x A \longrightarrow \forall x A \vee A[-x]$$

$A[-x]$ replaces all literals containing a free x by \perp , $A[y-x]$ only if $x \neq y$.

F is provable in RPC_n iff. it can be reduced to \top in finitely many reduction steps.

\vee and \wedge are assumed to be associative and commutative.

Finite Variable Logic and Relation Algebras: The Link

Tarski (1941): Every sentence of relation algebra can be translated to a 3-variable logic sentence and vice versa.

Maddux (1978):

1. A sentence is valid in SA iff. its translation is provable in SCC_3 .
2. A sentence is valid in RA iff. its translation is provable in SCC_4 .
3. A sentence is valid in RRA iff. its translation is provable in SCC_ω .

Experimental Results

problem	source	class	strat.	proofs	steps	time
3.2(v)	[TG87]	SSA	LI	2	46	0.13
3.2(vi)	[TG87]	SSA	LI	2	41	0.10
3.2(xvii)	[TG87]	SSA	LI	3	22	0.04
3.2(xviii)	[TG87]	RA	AI	1	12	0.05
3.1(iii)(ϵ)	[TG87]	RA	AI	6	104	0.20
3.2(xix)	[TG87]	RA	LA	3	25	0.05
Thm 2.7	[CT51]	RA	AI	1	12	0.04
Thm 2.11	[CT51]	RA	AI	1	19	0.05
Cor 2.19	[CT51]	RA	AI	1	77	75.17
Dedekind	[DG98]	RA	AI	1	37	0.09
Cor 2.19	[CT51]	RRA	AI	1	38	0.14

Run-times in seconds on a Sun E450 running at 400 MHz.